

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE                              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/573,421                              | 02/14/2007                               | Yuegang Zhang        | 043395-0378103      | 2036             |  |
| 86175<br>Pillsbury Wint                 | 7590 06/17/2010<br>hrop Shaw Pittman LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| (INTEL)                                 | •  |                      | RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C |                  |  |
| P.O. Box 1050<br>McLean, VA 2           |  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| , |  |                      | 3653                |                  |  |
|   |  |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |  |                      | 06/17/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket\_ip@pillsburylaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No.     | Applicant(s) |  |
|---|---------------------|--------------|--|
|   | 10/573,421          | ZHANG ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner            | Art Unit     |  |
|   | JOSEPH C. RODRIGUEZ | 3653         |  |

|   | JOSEPH C. RODRIGUEZ   | 3653   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP  | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of t application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places t application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Requer for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | date of the final rejection                                | n.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date<br>have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL.   | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);   | E below);  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br/>appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  | ter form for appeal by materially red   | lucing or simplifying ti                                   | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |   | mpliant Amendment (I                                       | PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | owable if submitted in a separate, t  | imely filed amendmer                                       | nt canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov.</li> <li>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>  |   | be entered and an e  | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | and/or appellant faile<br>e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1              | s to provide a<br>).                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>  | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| The amendments require for more than nominal consider   | ration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | /Joseph C Rodriguez/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 3653   |  |  |  |  |  |